November 2010 Feedback from UPAs on Local Field Collection Options

Option #	Local agency	Comments
1	Danielle Stefani, Livermore	building her own system in FM Pro. Does not want to add additional fields
3	Doug Conway, Mariposa	Option 3) to add optional fields that CUPAs and PAs could select for use in their jurisdiction.
3	Greg Breshears, Santa Clara County DEH	We concur with Cal/EPA's recommendation to add the data elements listed in table rows 3 through 10 to the list of required data elements. All of those data elements provide useful information which will facilitate Unified program implementation.
	Bob Fourt, Amador	CERS should require just the data fields on the existing forms - Local agencies should be able to collect all the information they want and take responsibility for uploading just the required data to CERS - This would require agencies collecting additional information to provide the only portal for electronic submission and review and forward information to CERS - Agencies just collecting the required information would be able to use the CERS portal - This way agencies collecting additional information bear the cost of collecting the information.
3	Chris Boykin, Union City	Union City no longer collects additional locally collected information. We would support Option 3 of the three options for the addition of locally collected information but would not object to Option 2.
2	Bruce Weldon, Montery	
	Nabil Al-Hadithy, Berkeley	Does not support any limitation on locally collected information; believes it inconsistent with legislative intent of HS 22500.
1	John White, Anaheim	
2	Ann Rolan, Madera	I am inclined to vote for option 2 and we need to reduce the list to a reasonable number of changes